1895-96
(R.R.)

File No. 150-95
Serial No. 1-8

Sub:- Appeal of Nanjegowda,
Kadalvadi village
malavalli Taluk, regarding
the Patel lineck of the
said village.

P40

45-95

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895-96. 192. (L.R.)

File No. 45-95

Serial Nos 1-8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial No. 1-8.

In ~~Part~~ B for December 1895

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Nanjegowda, Kadalwadi Village, Malavalli Taluk, regarding the Patelship of the said village ——.

Continuation Roll

W.D. 2228—(P.S.)—20,000—5-6-26.

Index

Apart from the relationship of Nanjegowda with the Bde which is involved in dispute & uncertainty it is certain that the son of a Patel who was convicted of dacoity cannot succeed to his father's office. Moreover the Dy Comr has found that the Patel is 24 yrs old and appears his unit is blamed - Kenchegowda the present Patel has been doing the work for more than 12 years. The Sub Magistrate's appointment has been duly gazetted. Kenchegowda is too old and senile incapacitated for work. The Dy Comr will do well to appoint his son Puttaiah as his successor.

14-11-30

Mitd

Papers
Dr
7/11

2

Appeal No 51 of 1895-96.

Appellant: Respondent-

Ranje Gonda Kuneke Gonda.

Appeal regarding the Pattis of Kadlaregil, Mailarali Zilla.

List of English papers.

(1) Appeal petition
(2) Copy of Dy Comrs judgment-
(3) do Sub Dn Officers judgment:
(4) do do do in Rev: Suit Case No 2 of 1895 x
(5) Rolls of Evidence & proceedings of the Sub Dn. x Officers Court-
(6) do do do of the Dy Comrs Court x
(7) Genealogical Table. x
(8) Dy Comrs letter of 14. 10. 95.
(9) Rev: Register's docket of 2. 11. 95.

5.

(5)

Sealed By Councillor

9-12-95

Yesterday
her husband
two more reps
are wanted. This
fact was intimated
to the Deputy
~~on~~ who might
have shared
knowing the matter
of sending a report
that the reps were
to be sent

12/12/95

13-12-95

Rule 5 (2) (a) (iii)

Please see New Rules, Rule XLIII Para 5 (4) - A daughter does not seem to entail forfeiture of a hereditary title. At any rate, for the purpose of this case, it seems unnecessary to express any opinion or record any order on this point. The order of Court is based upon the 2nd proviso finding that the Nizam was a minor at the date of suit which finding was not assailed by limitation. I beg to suggest the omission of the first sentence "Khan Bahadur ..... title". 2. If the charge was intended to be left to the Dy Commr I believe it was, some such alteration as "absconding or

a finding by the Court which was the only ground of limitation is not by reason of the declaration of a few minutes under majority.

12/12/95

4

Mi-ați scris o scrisoare
foarte interesantă
- așteptăm cu nerăbdare
următoarea -

A.

26-11-95

Neg
28/11.

Criminal Case

Stamp duty

In time.

Sent for orders.

Sent for orders.

Criminal Appeal No. 150-95-I

Gen. Serial No. 32.

189 (1)

No. 614

Name of Petitioner. Ramé Ganda Sayinekar & Krishna Sinarthekar

Village. Kaddamgaon

Taluk. Sattari

District. Ulpem

Date of Arst. 5-8-95

Date of Receipt. 5-8-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 3

Criminal Appeal No. 150-95-I dismissed as re-submitted 19-8-95

Re-submitted for orders 19/8/95

Ref

Petition for withdrawal of appeal. May be allowed. Process to issue.

19-8-95

Sent

The Govt Secretary has stated that this was in the Petn box.

With reference to instructions given by some advocate at Council, the Revenue Commissioner says that some advocate had already received fees and instructions. He wants to attend to appeal & wants refunding of fees. It was believed that in putting in appearance & presenting new petn the advocate were acting under the verbal order.

19/8

The withdrawal petition should be allowed as the power of attorney given to the advocate was revoked by the petitioner.

ABSTRACT.

Criminal appeal against order in Revenue Suit No. of 1893-94 dismissing suit regarding the right of tenancy of certain village in the Sattari Taluka.

15/8/95

7

MYSORE DISTRICT OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 614
DATE 6-8-95

614

MYSORE COURT FEE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE 10 RUPEES

OFFICE OF THE 9 AUG 95

M.A. 31 of 1895-96

Before the Government of Mysore

Civil S.A. of 1895-96

Before the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore

Revl. Appl. No. 7 of 1893-94.

Before the Sub Division officer of Periyapatna Sub-Division

Revision 2 of 1891-92.

Sri Siddaiah — Appellant

Ramajayamma - Plff — Respondent

Ramajayamma - Deft — Respondent

The appellant above named begs to appeal to this Hon: Court against the judgment of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore in Revl. Appeal No. 7 of 1893-94 dated 6-5-95 on the following grounds:

That the Lower Court erred in holding that the suit is barred by time

2 That



2. That the Court erred in applying statute of limitations to the Revenue Proceedings.

3. That upon the findings of facts by the Referee Commissioner he erred in not decreeing the office of postal to the appellant.

4. That the appellant has placed his title to the office

5 That the decision of the Court is opposed to the evidence and probabilities of the case

Edward Lee

Wm. Fullerton

Atty for Appellant

MR 450-95-2

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 614 dated 6th August 95

received at the Dewan's Office from

Nanjegowda (by Mr. L. Krishna... Advocate), Kondanagalli village of Malvally Taluk,

against the Dy. Com^rs order in Rev. Appeal No. 7 of 93-94 dismissing his appeal regarding the Patele hak... of the Kondanagalli village in the Malvally Taluk

is forwarded, together with its four enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

28-8-95

28/8

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
28th August
1895.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Unfiled
file
22-Aug-95

69

501

Gen. 31.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
CI. 3194
18 OCT 95
Ref 287
DEVASTHOM

LR 150-95-3

From The Dy Commissioner of the Mysore District

To The Revenue Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 14"

Dispatched October 1895

Received

General No.

No. 453

Enclosure No Petition Cir. 6.14 with 8 encls and encl recd

Submitting the entire record about the Patelic Inam of Hondavagal in the Malavalli Taluk.

18/10/95

To be issued [illegible]

[illegible] 1895

[illegible]

2/11

Notices issued

SV 24

11

No. 453 Ex. 2 files

(5)

(6)

Dy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 14th October 1895

From.

The Dy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To.

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

As required in your official memorandum No. $\frac{\text{Pet}}{509}$ dated the 4th September 1895, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire record in re the petition of Nanje gowda about the Patéli huk of Kondavagal in the Malavalli Taluk.

2. The petition and its enclosures received with the memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[signature]
Dy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Compd. letts.

(General Serial No. 31.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT. Rev. Cl. No. 3931. 18 NOV. 95. DEWAN'S OFFICE.

No. 1348 of 1895.

(15)

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

To The Rev: Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

KR 150-95

Dated 14th November 1895.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures Number.

General No. 605
No.

States that the Barabalutu
regulers in connection with
the Patels of Kodlavagil will
be submitted on their receipt
from the Malvalli Amildar.

Mysore
21
16/11/95

Central Jail Press,

(5) 15

No. 605

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 14th November 1895.

(16)

To,
The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No $\frac{Pat}{893}$ dated the 2d. instant, calling for the submission, within the 14th November 1895, of two Barabakuti Registers and other papers which were not in the file of proceedings submitted with this office letter No 453 dated the 14th Oct 1895, regarding the Patéli of Kodlavagil in the Malvalli Taluk, I have the honor to state that those documents, called for from the Amildar of the Malvalli Taluk, have not as yet been received. They will be submitted immediately on their receipt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

From (4)

LR 150-95-4

To The Deputy Commissioner Nilgiris District.

Dated 2
Despatched 2 } Nov 95

Received

General No. 1st
No. 893

Enclosure No. one Memo

His letter No 4-3 dated 14th October 95, submitting the records connected with the appeal of Ranga Gounda of Kadlangil in the Malavalli Taluk.

—x—

With reference to the above has the honour to forward a memo showing the discrepancies and defects in the period of the records, and to request that the two Barabalutti Registers and other papers which are

not in the file.

~~Petition~~ may be forwarded to the office as early as practicable.

2. An early reply is solicited, as the appeal of Ranjeet Singh stands posted to 14th instant.

By Order

Asstt. [illegible]

for Revenue Secretary.

9/11

Sd/-

2/11/95.

(9)

Village received therewith as having been in the Talak record.—

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

893

1.69

(6)

Gen. 31]



From The Dy Comm^r
Mysore District

To The Rev^e Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

LR 150-95-6

Dated, 8th November 1895.

Despatched

Received

General No. 616
No.

Enclosure No. 3

Inform copy of the Canabalu
Inquiry relating to Kodiangal
Village in the Nadavalli Taluq,
together with the Amildar's report

Perm
N
21/11/95

Subs.

The case to which these letters
relate, viz., Ranje Gowda &
Kenche Gowda, was heard on
the 14th inst, and order pro-
nounced dismissing the appeal of Ranje Gowda
& was dismissed.

22/11.

Now the letter to
be sent back from
Revenue Dept

17

No. 616/3

Deputy Commr's Office
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 13th Nov: 1895.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No Pet 893 dated the 2d November 1895, forwarding a Memo: showing the discrepancies in the Farad of the record submitted in connection with the appeal of Nanjégowda of Hadlavagil in the Malvadi Taluk, and calling for the two Barabandi registers and other papers not found in the record, I have the honor to state that those registers and papers are not traceable. The Amildar reports that as the Farad and the record already submitted are not worth much and in the Government Office, it has not been possible for him to trace any of the papers wanted, or to explain what has become of them. His report is submitted herewith, in original, for information together with the copy of the Barabandi register relating to the said Hadlavagil

Village

191

Draft for approval, Circuit

Proceedings of the Court of Inquiry

L.R 150-95-7

Read:-

(1) Petition of appeal No 614 of 31
1895-96, presented by Ranje
Gonda of Kadlaragil, Kaivalli
Taluk, against the judgment
of the Deputy Commissioner of
Bijapore, in Revenue appeal
No 7 of 1893-94, regarding the
office of Patel of Kadlaragil.

(2) Proceedings received
with letter No 453 dated 14th
October 1895, from the Deputy
Commr, Bijapore District,
which gives the facts of the
case as follows:-

One Ranjegonda held
the office in question prior to
1877, in which year he was convicted
of dacoity and sentenced to
imprisonment. The defendant
Kenchè Gonda has been in possession
of the office since him. The
said Ranjegonda died in
Jail on 5th June 1878. The
Plaintiff, claiming to be the
son of the said Ranjegonda,
sued for the recovery of the office
in 1891. The defendant

urged that the said Ranjé Sonda was his brother and not Plaintiff's father, that his (defendant's) father Lakshma Sonda held the office before the said Ranjé Sonda and that the suit was barred by limitation. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Peach Rocke held that the suit had been filed within the prescribed time after Plaintiff's attaining his majority, but that it was not proved that the Plaintiff was the son of Ranjé Sonda referred to. On appeal, the Deputy Commissioner held that Plaintiff was the son of the said Ranjé Sonda, that his suit was however barred, as he was 24 years old when it was filed, that defendant's father Lakshma Sonda was formerly the Patel of the village and that defendant held the office as a hereditary and was entitled to continue in office.

21

D 5-4-24
R/ 5-4-25 } 13-12-25

Order thereon.

Issue under file - Apart from the relationship
sent by parcel. of Durga Gonda with the
Plaintiff, which is invalid
in dispute and uncertain,
it is certain that the son of a
Patel who was convicted
of dacoity, cannot succeed
to his father's title. Moreover
the deputy Commr has found
that the Plaintiff was 24
years old when he filed the
suit and that therefore
the suit is barred. Durga
Gonda the present respondent
has been doing the work
for more than 12 years.
The suit was therefore
properly dismissed and
this appeal must be
rejected.

2. Durga Gonda is too old
and seems incapacitated
for work. The Deputy
Commissioner will do well to
appoint his competent
heir as his successor.

Please see order
attached & oblige
[illegible]

Issue
12/12/25

Draft
C.D.
13/12/25

To the Deputy Commr. Bijapur
District with proceedings

Revenue Secretary
[illegible]

The first sentence in the
order is taken from the fact that
the relationship of M. and E.
[illegible] is [illegible]
[illegible] should be carried
[illegible] it can't
[illegible] to be altered

LR 15(8)-95-8

2 పేజీలు

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ఫోలియో 614 - 31 షీట్ 4

భద్రాచలం రెవెన్యూ డివిజన్ 93-94 లో 7 నెలలు
అమ్మకపు పన్ను వసూళ్ళు - వివరాలు

సేల్స్ టాక్స్ ఆఫీసర్ 91-92 లో 2 నెలలు
వసూళ్ళు - వివరాలు

సేల్స్ టాక్స్ ఆఫీసర్

16-12-95

ఫోలియో 614 - 31 షీట్ 4

భద్రాచలం రెవెన్యూ డివిజన్ లో ఉన్న

ఏడు మండలాల్లో అమ్మకపు పన్ను వసూళ్ళు

1991-92 సంవత్సరంలో 2 నెలలు

1993-94 సంవత్సరంలో 7 నెలలు

వసూలు చేసిన వివరాలు ఈ క్రింది విధంగా ఉన్నాయి.

సంబంధిత అధికారులు వారి వారి
పరిధిలోని వ్యాపారస్థుల నుండి అమ్మకపు పన్ను
వసూలు చేసి ఈ క్రింది వివరాలు తెలిపారు
వారి వివరాలు 18/12/95 న ఆఫీసు
ఫోలియో 614/A.S.31 లో నమోదు చేయడమైనది
దీనిని బట్టి వారు వసూలు చేసిన పన్ను
వివరాలు ఈ క్రింది విధంగా ఉన్నాయి.

[illegible]